Practitioner's Docket No. 944-005.005

PATENT

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

L. Paatero

Application No.: 10 / 090, 426

Group No.:

2132

Filed:

February 28, 2002 Examiner:

S. Mizan

Method and System to Allow Performance of Permitted Activity with Respect to a Device

**Commissioner for Patents** Washington, D.C. 20231

# REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114)

1. Applicant hereby requests continued examination, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, for the above identified application.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 Request for continued examination:

"(a) If prosecution in an application is closed, an applicant may request continued examination of the application by filing a submission and the fee set forth in § 1.17(e) prior to the earliest of:

- (1) Payment of the issue fee, unless a petition under § 1.313 is granted;
- (2) Abandonment of the application; or
- (3) The filing of a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 141, or the commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 146, unless the appeal or civil action is terminated.
- (b) Prosecution in an application is closed as used in this section means that the application is under appeal, or that the last Office action is a final action (§ 1.113), a notice of allowance (§ 1.311), or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

### CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10\*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

#### MAILING

	ice in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231  37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)  with sufficient postage as first class mail.	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *  as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
With Sufficient postage as mot oldes mem	Mailing Label No (mandator)
ग	RANSMISSION
facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trade	emark Office, (703)
Date: 7/20/06	Signature  Margery B. Hood
•	<del></del>

(type or print name of person certifying)

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 1 of 6)

음 07/25/2006 RFEKADU1 00000021 10090426

(c) A submission as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, an information disclosure statement, an amendment to the written description, claims, or drawings, new arguments, or new evidence in support of patentability. If reply to an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111.

(d) If an applicant timely files a submission and fee set forth in § 1.17(e), the Office will withdraw the finality of any Office action and the submission will be entered and considered. If an applicant files a request for continued examination under this section after appeal, but prior to a decision on the appeal, it will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner. An appeal brief under § 1.192 or a reply brief under § 1.193(b), or related papers, will not be considered a submission under this section."

NOTE: An applicant may file a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 containing only an information disclosure statement (37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98) in an application subject to a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. § 151. An appeal brief or a reply brief (or related papers) will not be considered a submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See 37 CFR 1.114(d). The submission, however, may consist of the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief, or may simply consist of a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. In addition, a previously filed amendment after final may satisfy this submission requirement. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A5.

NOTE: Even though an RCE is improper (e.g., because it was filed before the prosecution is closed), an amendment submitted with the RCE will still be entered and considered by the examiner since it was timely filed and responsive to the non-final Office action in compliance with 37 CFR 1.111. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A4.

WARNING: 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and § 1.114 provide for the continued examination of an application and not examination of a continuing application). Accordingly, the Office will not permit an applicant to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

WARNING: The provisions of 37 CFR 1.114 also do not apply (1) to a provisional application; (2) an application for a utility or plant patent filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before June 8, 1995; (3) an international application filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before June 8, 1995; (4) a patent under reexamination or (5) an application for a design patent. 37 CFR § 1.114(e).

WARNING: The PTO has pointed out why § 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. The PTO explained that since an RCE filing is a reply under 35 U.S.C. 132, the applicant may be entitled to patent term adjustment if the Office does not act on an application containing a request for continued examination under § 1.114 within four months. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(ii). Thus, the Office cannot delay action on RCE applications for three months to determine whether an information disclosure statement will be filed. The Office, however, is adopting provisions (§ 1.103(c)) for a limited suspension of action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114, for the applicant to obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement (or amendments, or an affidavit or declaration) after the filing of the RCE. See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50100 (comment 11); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24

WARNING: One of the time periods excluded from patent term adjustment is the time consumed by a continued examination request under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) (§ 1.114(b) (1)).

WARNING: The Office will not suspend action in an application when a reply by the applicant is outstanding. 35 U.S.C. 133 requires an applicant to "prosecute the application" within six months of an Office action (or a shorter period as set in the Office action) to avoid abandonment of the application. If an applicant files a request for continued examination but does not also provide any submission (in reply to the prior Office action) within the period for reply to the prior Office action, the application is abandoned by operation of law (35 U.S.C. 133).

The Office will treat a request for continued examination under § 1.114 containing a bona fide submission that is not fully responsive to the prior Office action under the practice set forth in § 1.135(c). In addition, under the limited suspension of action provisions of § 1.103(c), an applicant must still file a request for continued examination practice in compliance with § 1.114, but may obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement, amendments, or an affidavit or declaration after the filing of the request for continued examination.

See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50102 (comment 20); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24] Page 50102

WARNING: Section 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.

NOTE: There is no limit to the number of times the fee for continued examination may be submitted. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

NOTE: Unlike a continuation application, a continued examination request **can** utilize the mailing procedure of 37 CFR 1.8. See 37 CFR § 1.8(a)(2)(i)(A).

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ 790.00

### TIME REQUEST IS BEING MADE

2. This request is being submitted (check appropriate item(s) below):
i 📈 Prior to abandonment of the application
ii.   Payment of the issue fee
☐ Prior to payment of issue fee
☐ Issue fee has been paid but a petition under § 1.313 has been granted
iii.   Prior to a decision on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences
A notice is being separately sent to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences that this Request for Continued Examination is being filed.
NOTE: If such a notice is not sent to the Board then may refuse to vacate a decision rendered after the filing of the RCE but before recognition by the Office of the RCE request under § 1.114.
iv. ☐ Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 145 or ☐ Commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 146
☐ Prior to the filing of such appeal or commencement of civil action
☐ Such appeal or commencement of civil action has been terminated
ENCLOSURES
3. Enclosed herewith is/are:
WARNING: If reply to a final or non-final Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111. 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(b).
☐ An information disclosure (37 C.F.R. § 1.98)
☐ Form PTO-1449 (PTO/SB/08A and 08B)
New arguments     ■ New arguments
☐ New evidence in support of patentability
Other:

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ 790.00

# FEE FOR REQUEST (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)).

4. Th	nis ap	plication	n is on be	ehalf of	f:								
		Small er	ntity (and	status	is still a	as sm	all en	itity)				\$37	5.00
		Other th	ian a sma	all entit	у							\$79	0.00
				ı	FEE FO	R C	LAIM	s					
NOTE	∃: "Th (cf.	e fee for (	continued e 3)(ii))." See N	examinati Notice of	ion under March 10	§ 1.11 0, 2000	14 (§ 1. ), 65 Fe	.17(e)) do ed Reg 14	es not inc 4865, at 1	lude ad 4868.	dditional	claim	ıs fee
			(d)(3): "The								er this pa	ragra	ph is:
	6	) The bas	ic filing fee	as set fo	orth in §	1.16; a	nd						
	o a	f any ame ny amend	onal § 1.16 i endment aci dments und red in the c	company er § 1.1	ring the re 16 unente	equest red in	for an a	applicatio or applica	n under tl	his para	agraph an	nd en	try of
5. Ti	ne fee	for cla	ims (37 C	C.F.R. §	3 1.16(b	)-(d))	has b	een cal	culated	as sh	own be	elow	<b>r</b> :
	(	Col. 1)		(C	ol. 2)	(Co	ol. 3)	SMALI	L ENTITY		OTHER SMALL		
	RE	CLAIMS MAINING AFTER ENDMENT		PREV	est no. /Iously d for		SENT TRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADI FE	DIT. EE
TOTAL	•	42	MINUS	4	4	=		x\$9=	\$		x\$18=	\$ .	
INDEP.	•	5	MINUS	***	5	=		x\$42=	\$		x\$84 =	\$	
□FIRS1	PRES	ENTATIO	N OF MULT	TIPLE DE	P. CLAIM	1		+\$140=	\$		+\$280=	\$	
				-			ADI	TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	-	
•• !	If the "I If the "I The "H box in	Highest N Highest No ighest No Col. 1 of	ol. 1 is less to. Previous to. Previous, Previously a prior ame	ly Paid f ly Paid for Paid For Paid For Paid For	or" IN TH For" IN Th or" (Total o	IS SPA IS SP or Inde	ACE is ACE is p.) is t	less than less than he highes	3, enter t number	"3."	in the ap	propi	riate
WAN	wiiva.	000 07				<i>a</i> )		!:					
					ete (a) o	r (D),	as ap	рисаріе					
(a)	1 X	No addit	tional fee	is requ	uired.								
						OR							
(b)	[] T	otal ad	ditional fe	ee requ	ired is	\$							
							(5.				0.43		-6 C1

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 4 of 6)

## **EXTENSION OF TIME**

(If an extension of time is appropriate complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

·			
<ol><li>The proceedings herein § 1.136(a) apply.</li></ol>	n are for a patent application	n, and the provision	ons of 37 C.F.R
to conclude processing in excess of three month objection, argument, or action was mailed or shall be reduced by the after the date of mailin rejection, objection, argor shortened statutory three-month period set	an applicant shall be deemed to or examination of an application for is that are taken to reply to any notice or other request, measuring such the given to the applicant, in which case is number of days, if any, beginning or g or transmission of the Office corument, or other request and ending period, for reply that is set in the C forth in this paragraph."	the cumulative total of or action by the Office or action by the Office or action by the Defice of adjustment the day after the date of the date on the date the reply office action or notice of the cumulation of the date the reply of the action or notice of the cumulation of the cumu	If any periods of time making any rejection in the date the notice in set forth in § 1.70; that is three month; the applicant of the vas filed. The period has no effect on the
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ns for an extension of time, 7(a)(1)-(4), for the total numb		
Extension for (months)  one month two months three months four months	Fee for other than small entity \$ 110.00 \$ 410.00 \$ 930.00 \$ 1,450.00	Fee for small entity  \$ 55.00 \$ 205.00 \$ 465.00 \$ 725.00	
	Fee: S	B	
If an additional extension	of time is required, please	consider this a pe	etition therefor.
(check	and complete the next item,	if applicable)	
paid therefo	n for months has all r of \$ is months of extension now re Extension fee due	deducted from the	ne total fee due
	OR		
conditional petition	es that no extension of time on and authorization to pay at applicant has inadvertently asion of time.	the necessary fee	s to provide for
	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE		
WARNING: The fee for continu	ed examination under § 1.114 may	not be deferred. 37 C	C.F.R. § 1.53(f).
7. The total fee(s) due is/a	re:	·	
Continued Prosecution	n Fee (§ 1.17(e))		\$ 790.a
Fee(s) for additional of	claims (if any) (§ 1.16(b)-(d))		\$
Extension of time fee	(if any) (§ 1.17(a)(1)-(4))		\$
	Ţ	otal Fee(s) Due	\$ 790.00
(Reques	t for Continued Examination (RCE)	(37 C.F.R & 1 114) 19	-641—page 5 of 6)

(Hequest for Continued Examination (HCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9–64]—page 5 of 6)

# PAYMENT OF FEE(S) DUE

8. Please pay	the fee(s) for this continu	ied examination application as foll	ows:
	k is attached for the sun	n of	\$ 790.00
☐ Char	ge Account	the sum of	\$
☐ Char	ge Credit Card the sum (	of	\$
(Cred	it Card Payment Form (F	PTO-2038) attached)	
-		onal fee(s) for § 1.17(e), § 1.16	(b)-(d) and/or
§ 1.17(a)(1)-(4) t	o unt 23-0442		
☐ Credi	t Card (Credit Card Payr	ment Form (PTO-2038) attached).	
	INVE	ENTORSHIP	
	ge of inventors must be via the 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.	procedure set forth in 37 CFR § 1.48. Se	e Notice of March
9. This applica	tion as amended names	as inventors:	
☐ the s	ame inventors as previou	usly designated for the claims.	
this re		iously designated ans a statement the name or names of the person of tion now being claimed.	
		ly as an inventor and a petition ur being filed    been filed	nder 37 C.F.R.
	DEFERRAL	OF EXAMINATION	
10.  A requestable A requestab		ination accompanies this request	for continued
Reg. No.:	45,858	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER	<u> </u>
		Andrew T. Hyman	
Tel. No.: (203	) 261-1234	(type or print name of practitioner)	
Customer No.:	004955	WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN I	LLP
		755 Main Street, PO F Monroe CT 06468	30x 224

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 6 of 6)